

**From:** [Wyatt, Robert](#)  
**To:** [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Cc:** [jworonets@anchorenv.com](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Revised RAOs with Groundwater RAO Inclusion  
**Date:** 10/02/2009 10:27 AM

---

Thanks Eric.

---

**From:** Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov <Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov>  
**To:** Wyatt, Robert  
**Cc:** jworonets@anchorenv.com <jworonets@anchorenv.com>; Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov <Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Fri Oct 02 10:13:13 2009  
**Subject:** Revised RAOs with Groundwater RAO Inclusion

Bob, I thought I sent this email on Wednesday afternoon, but apparently, it did not go. As previously indicated, EPA has considered your proposed groundwater RAO but cannot accept it as written because it is inconsistent with EPA's August 7, 2009 RAOs. However, we do appreciate that combining the sediment and groundwater RAOs may be confusing. As a result, we have developed separate human health and ecological RAOs for groundwater. The attached letter includes a set of revised RAOs for the Portland Harbor site. Please respond to EPA by October 7, 2009 as to whether the LWG will comply with EPA's direction to incorporate the attached revised RAOs into the draft feasibility study. A redline version relative to the August 7, 2009 RAOs and a clean version are attached.

Thanks, Eric